

## Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E1	Throop & Holdenhurst	(a) The Parish Council has a good relationship with its Parishioners and is active in promoting the good of the Parish	Agree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E2	Throop & Holdenhurst	Throop is a lovely quiet village please let it be that	Agree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E3	Throop & Holdenhurst	Our current Parish Council achieves nothing. I does not communicate with the community, and most people i meet ask the same question ... what do they do ? They do not review any local planning applications, have not supported any projects in the last 12 months, and due to their meeting schedule of every two months, seem incapable of taking decisions or taking any action. Requests to the parish clerk have to be constantly followed up, as he takes responsibility for following up issues raised himself. Basically, they are a waste of money. This is a real shame, as it could be good, but previous chairman and councillors have alienated a large part of the population due to their views being dismissed out of hand.	Disagree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E4	Throop & Holdenhurst	The existing parish council is a waste of time and money - it seems to make no difference existing costing us more money	Disagree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E5	Throop & Holdenhurst	(d) (e) (f) I feel there is no need for any parish councils. Why have extra expense, an extra level of administration. The existing BCP councillors should be suffiecient.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
E6	Throop & Holdenhurst	(a) - (e) It seems sensible to retain the parish council as it has only relatively recently been created. In relation to (f), the number of councillors seems disproportionate. Generally, if local government overheads were reduced then more funds could be directed to improving declining public services across the BCP area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
E7	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,c	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E8	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,c Throop & Holdenhurst parish council should continue as it is if the residents want this, but there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
E9	Throop & Holdenhurst	All of them. I was brought up in the area and go there often.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
E10	Throop & Holdenhurst	Do not need multi level local government, wasted money.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
E11	Throop & Holdenhurst	f This seems a lot of councillors for the population.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E12	Throop & Holdenhurst	I do not think that the constituents can afford to pay more than they already are for council tax. In the current economy people are already struggling to pay their overheads let alone fork out more, for what seems to be the same service they already receive, with no monetary cap on what can be charged. It's a terrible idea.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
E13	Throop & Holdenhurst	I don't see the need for Parish Councils, I feel it adds another layer of expense	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
E14	Throop & Holdenhurst	No case has been made to make any changes.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E15	Throop & Holdenhurst	The Parish and its name has historic significance to the local electorate.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E16	Throop & Holdenhurst	This is a historic arrangement that serves residents well and doesn't need changing	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E17	Throop & Holdenhurst	Throop and Holdenhurst is clearly a sepatate semi-rural community within BCP and should be allowed to continue with their own parish council.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
E18	Throop & Holdenhurst	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
E19	Throop & Holdenhurst	Well known and respected area needing its own representation.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E20	Throop & Holdenhurst	Why change for change's sake??	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
E21	Throop & Holdenhurst	[unknown] wasting more money and get on with the town centre, making it more family friendly, like it used to be!! [unknown] in the [unknown]	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
E22	Throop & Holdenhurst	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
E23	Throop & Holdenhurst	A- it is too small to have separate representation D - as above not cost effective F - too many councillors for the size	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E24	Throop & Holdenhurst	A- it should be abolished and adds no value to the local community.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
E25	Throop & Holdenhurst	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
E26	Throop & Holdenhurst	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
E27	Throop & Holdenhurst	a) I think it unnecessary having the parish but it is not really for me to say if the residents want a parish then is up to then.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E28	Throop & Holdenhurst	a) Same as hutn, why does this area require it's own parish council when it's barely a neighbourhood f) Again insane levels of over representation	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
E29	Throop & Holdenhurst	a) to f) ( all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
E30	Throop & Holdenhurst	a, d I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.  Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.  Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
E31	Throop & Holdenhurst	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5



Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E32	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b, d, and f: The elector ratio at 85 is ridiculously low. Surely this tells us the something should be done to change the arrangements. Either by boundary change, abolition, or change in the number of councillors	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1
E33	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
E34	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,c,d,e,f We Have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
E35	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,c,d,e,f, 88 residents to each councillor is a ridiculous ratio.. Just a waste of money. Abolish this parish and merge	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E36	Throop & Holdenhurst	a,b,d and f. I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit. This parish council is not having any material influence and should be abolished.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
E37	Throop & Holdenhurst	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
E38	Throop & Holdenhurst	abolish the council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
E39	Throop & Holdenhurst	Abolish this council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E40	Throop & Holdenhurst	A-f) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
E41	Throop & Holdenhurst	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
E42	Throop & Holdenhurst	B - that consideration being given to Muscliff joining the area - is in close proximity to it, children from Troop use local schools, area has more in common with Troop than Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E43	Throop & Holdenhurst	Bournemouth should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
E44	Throop & Holdenhurst	Commenting on all recommendations. BCP council and all associated with it have a horrendous reputation for charging more whilst providing less. Until such time that BCP council start providing value for the ever increasing council tax fees, no additional charges to residents, regardless of how that is spent should ever be considered. Any existing fees should be abolished immediately. If BCP council were a private company, that company would have folded years ago. No business acumen, no competition, leading to no progressive thinking with a staff that wouldn't last a probation period in the private sector.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
E45	Throop & Holdenhurst	d. Not effective.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E46	Throop & Holdenhurst	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E47	Throop & Holdenhurst	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
E48	Throop & Holdenhurst	Having read all these recommendations so far I am wondering why we have so many tiers of Council. I thought there was one Council and that is BCP. I have to ask why we need so many tiers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E49	Throop & Holdenhurst	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
E50	Throop & Holdenhurst	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E51	Throop & Holdenhurst	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
E52	Throop & Holdenhurst	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
E53	Throop & Holdenhurst	I do not agree with another layer of local beaurocracy costing local tax payers more money so do not agree with any draft proposal. I'd rather pay more council tax to go towards school place provision rather than pointless parish councils which serve little purpose	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E54	Throop & Holdenhurst	I don't see the need for as many as 7 councillors for this area. I also don't see any need for a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E55	Throop & Holdenhurst	It's ridiculous that a district of less than 600 people has its own council. Whatever next, a council for every street?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
E56	Throop & Holdenhurst	Massively over the top number do seats for little population and some of the morons who live in the area have already had too much of a say in local matters such as complete refusal and objection to creation of junctions into Wessex Fields. 595 people allowed to screw up access for a major hospital and courts for literally everyone else in BCP	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
E57	Throop & Holdenhurst	None	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
E58	Throop & Holdenhurst	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E59	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish Council NOT needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
E60	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of bureaucracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilled expertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E61	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
E62	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4



Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E63	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
E64	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
E65	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
E66	Throop & Holdenhurst	Ratio of electors to councillors too low and therefore undemocratic. Unnecessary extra layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E67	Throop & Holdenhurst	Re a and d - the parish council should be abolished. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not maintaining it. I do not think these areas get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
E68	Throop & Holdenhurst	Should be merged with other parishes	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
E69	Throop & Holdenhurst	Should be part of an Independent Christchurch Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
E70	Throop & Holdenhurst	the area is the less urban part of Muscliffe and any parish should cover the entirety of the area up to Castle Lane West.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E71	Throop & Holdenhurst	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
E72	Throop & Holdenhurst	The Throop NIMBIES are too insular. There is no need for another tier of administration to pamper to their ideology.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E73	Throop & Holdenhurst	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
E74	Throop & Holdenhurst	Waste of time please stop.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E75	Throop & Holdenhurst	Additional costs	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
E76	Throop & Holdenhurst	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E77	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E78	Throop & Holdenhurst	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q28 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E79	Throop & Holdenhurst	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

## Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E80	Throop & Holdenhurst	We need a bus here lots of older people finding it hard to walk to nearest bus stop	Agree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E81	Throop & Holdenhurst	Abolish them now	Disagree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E82	Throop & Holdenhurst	Along with all the other parish councils they should be abolished.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
E83	Throop & Holdenhurst	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
E84	Throop & Holdenhurst	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E85	Throop & Holdenhurst	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
E86	Throop & Holdenhurst	Amalgamation into a larger body.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E87	Throop & Holdenhurst	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
E88	Throop & Holdenhurst	As above, parish councils are a waste of our money, get the [unknown] [unknown] to a place where families can feel safe and everyday make the [unknown] in the centre of town more colourful like they used to be better play places for children.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
E89	Throop & Holdenhurst	Believe parish councils should be scrapped, simply another layer of cost and bureaucracy which be served better by centralised service. Also for this local parish representation to head of population is disproportionate to size and other BCP areas	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E90	Throop & Holdenhurst	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
E91	Throop & Holdenhurst	Disagree with the concept of having an additional unnecessary tier of local authority administration below Unitary level for reasons given in previous question	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
E92	Throop & Holdenhurst	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
E93	Throop & Holdenhurst	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
E94	Throop & Holdenhurst	For all that is good and holy, just abolish everything that requires additional fees to residents and focus on the basics, providing a value for money service to residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0



Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E95	Throop & Holdenhurst	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
E96	Throop & Holdenhurst	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
E97	Throop & Holdenhurst	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
E98	Throop & Holdenhurst	It is an unnecessary expense	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E99	Throop & Holdenhurst	<p>Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
E100	Throop & Holdenhurst	<p>Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more!</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
E101	Throop & Holdenhurst	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
E102	Throop & Holdenhurst	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E103	Throop & Holdenhurst	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
E104	Throop & Holdenhurst	No need for any additional Council the concept of BCP was to reduce costs and administration.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
E105	Throop & Holdenhurst	No.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
E106	Throop & Holdenhurst	Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
E107	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish Council NOT needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E108	Throop & Holdenhurst	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
E109	Throop & Holdenhurst	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
E110	Throop & Holdenhurst	Scrap it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
E111	Throop & Holdenhurst	<p>The boundaries of “Poole” council are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is “represented” as 4x separate parishes. Resources for “Poole” (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere.</p> <p>The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the “Three Towns”, based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well.</p> <p>The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
E112	Throop & Holdenhurst	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E113	Throop & Holdenhurst	We do not need a parish council as well as BCP. It will be costly as well as unnecessary and certain matters will get missed between the two.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
E114	Throop & Holdenhurst	We should either have BCP or parish councils, not both.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
E115	Throop & Holdenhurst	Additional costs from where?	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
E116	Throop & Holdenhurst	Amending the boundary to include Wood Farm in the Muscliff and Strouden Park Ward instead of the Commons Ward is supported as it will make administering local and parish elections more straightforward.  Whilst the property will still be in a different parliamentary constituency to the rest of the (proposed) ward, there is always going to be a difference at one level of governance. The proposal creates a preferred scenario as local and parish elections are usually scheduled on the same date, and this change will potentially allow the anomaly to be addressed at a future parliamentary boundary review.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
E117	Throop & Holdenhurst	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q29 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Throop & Holdenhurst?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
E118	Throop & Holdenhurst	I note that elections in councils set up in 2019 were contested then but then not in 2023 (apart from one Christchurch ward). Throop and Holdenhurst elections are due in 2027. I wonder if the same lack of interest and therefore continuance of sitting members would also occur there . I feel strongly that the premise of setting up councils made up from self-proposing volunteers is deeply flawed. Where is the governance and vetting of these probably well meaning but possibly not capable individuals? Alarm bells ring when the initial enthusiasm for putting themselves up to contest an election has disappeared and the council continues with no possible change of personnel? People who put themselves forward may have particular pet interests and may make short term decisions with unforeseen consequences down the line. The whole premise seems to be a way to off load some responsibilities from the council but the approach of getting some members of the community, whose only qualification may be the location of their house, to take these responsibilities on seems very risky.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3